

Co-Constructing a Community of Practice for Early-Career Computer Science Academics in the UK

Tom Crick*
Swansea University
Swansea, UK
thomas.crick@swansea.ac.uk

James H. Davenport*
University of Bath
Bath, UK
masjhd@bath.ac.uk

Paul Hanna*
Ulster University
Belfast, UK
jrp.hanna@ulster.ac.uk

Alan Hayes*
University of Bath
Bath, UK
ah347@bath.ac.uk

Alastair Irons*
University of Sunderland
Sunderland, UK
alastair.iron@sunderland.ac.uk

Keith Miller*
Manchester Metropolitan University
Manchester, UK
k.miller@mmu.ac.uk

Tom Prickett*
Northumbria University
Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
tom.prickett@northumbria.ac.uk

Rupert Ward*
University of Huddersfield
Manchester, UK
rupert.ward@hud.ac.uk

Becky Allen*
University of Sunderland
Sunderland, UK
becky.allen@sunderland.ac.uk

Bhagyashree Patil*
University of Bath
Bath, UK
bp397@bath.ac.uk

Simon Payne*
University of South Wales
Pontypridd, UK
simon.payne@southwales.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Early-career academics across all disciplines in the UK face significant challenges, and computer science is no exception. There are challenges in terms of developing an independent research career, delivering high quality learning and teaching, maintaining their own professional development, as well as wider academic service commitments. Tertiary education institutions in the UK often provide support through some combination of mentoring, coaching, and training. Early-career faculty often have to work towards professional recognition of their teaching, either by direct application or via successful completion of an accredited institutional taught postgraduate course. This paper reports on progress towards supplementing institutional-level support through an evolving UK-wide initiative, co-constructed with early-career academics, to build diverse and resilient communities of practice in computer science. Insights are provided as to how the initiative supplements current institutional approach and is underpinned by national-level academic practice developmental events, professional body engagement, alongside cross-institutional mentoring and buddying schemes.

*N.B. all authors contributed equally to this paper

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

CEP 2022, January 6, 2022, Durham, United Kingdom

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9561-8/22/01...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3498343.3498349>

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Social and professional topics** → **Computing education**.

KEYWORDS

Early-career academics, community of practice, professional development, co-construction

ACM Reference Format:

Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, Paul Hanna, Alan Hayes, Alastair Irons, Keith Miller, Tom Prickett, Rupert Ward, Becky Allen, Bhagyashree Patil, and Simon Payne. 2022. Co-Constructing a Community of Practice for Early-Career Computer Science Academics in the UK. In *Computing Education Practice 2022 (CEP 2022)*, January 6, 2022, Durham, United Kingdom. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3498343.3498349>

1 WHAT IS IT?

This paper reports on the progress to date of an emerging initiative to support the development needs of early career academics in the United Kingdom. The background analysis undertaken to initiate this scheme and the outcomes of a related workshop at the ACM UK & Ireland Computing Education Research Conference 2020 (UKICER'20) to formulate a pilot course are discussed in a previous paper [3]. The initiative has three key activities: (i) Developmental/training sessions; (ii) Cross-university mentoring; and (iii) Cross-university buddying. Initially, the steering group set out with the goal of having two key activities, namely (i) and (ii) above, but as part of the co-construction process with participants, buddying was added as a third goal. Universities from across the four nations of the UK have been involved and to date 59 early career colleagues from 16 different institutions have participated in the scheme.

The scheme was formally initiated in December 2020. To date three developmental/networking events have been delivered online, primarily due to the impacts of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which has presented considerable challenges for the discipline of computer science [5, 23, 25] and for higher education in general [32, 33]. Alternative approaches would have been considered in other circumstances. However many of the participants at the workshops highlighted that due to competing work-pressures and expense issues they preferred the adopted virtual format. Following each event, feedback was sought by a post-event survey; the outcomes of these surveys are explored in Section 4.

The *first event* took place in December 2020 attracting 22 attendees from seven different universities representing all four nations of the UK. Four main activities were provided: (a) Challenges and tools for teaching programming exploring tools for automated testing and plagiarism detection and provided good practice examples for discussion; (b) An interactive session related to the challenges and opportunities of supervising CS project students; (c) Prior to the event, attendees were asked to pose questions to a panel of five experienced CS professors; and (d) A workshop that explored how the scheme could help/support the participants, how could a diverse, resilient and sustainable community be developed for the participants, and did the format work and what could be improved? The *second event* took place in March 2021 and was designed to be more interactive; again there were 22 attendees from across all four nations of the UK. The main activities were: (a) Networking opportunities with breakout rooms being used for the attendees to discuss the challenges and successes they have been experiencing and how this initiative could best support them; (b) Professional bodies and accreditation related to computer science were explored; (c) 'Would you like us to set up a mentoring scheme?' was explored; and (d) the existing information sharing opportunities were discussed i.e. the related conferences, journal club, available training, etc. The *third event* took place in May 2021 attracting 15 colleagues. Given the timing in the UK academic year, the focus of this event was delivering effective HE assessment and feedback processes. This session was led by a former Advanced HE employee.

As part of these events, there has been an ongoing discussion regarding a mentoring scheme and how it would operate. The agreed expectations for mentoring have been agreed: the mentoring is external i.e. the mentor/mentee do not work at the same institution; the time commitment is initially 60 minutes, four times per year; a specific focus is agreed; there is a process for matching mentors/mentees, with an initial meeting to confirm suitability. The first batch of mentor/mentees was assigned in October 2021. Alongside the discussion regarding mentoring, at the second and third event there was a discussion regarding buddying. The preference from the participants was that buddying should not be one-on-one, but that small groups of buddies be established. As more participants join, thematic groups can be formed. Over the course of the initiative, the steering group has also expanded from 12 to 24 academics representing 20 universities (England: 16, Wales: 4, Scotland: 3 and NI: 1).

2 WHY ARE YOU DOING IT?

Starting out in your academic career can be challenging [29] and potentially lonely [9]. Many new academics have moved on from

either funded PhD studentships or postdoctoral research positions in which they have the luxury of placing a primacy in their research. Others join universities from industrial careers and hence find themselves in the challenging position of establishing a research portfolio alongside their learning and teaching activities. All face the challenge of balancing all aspects of the role: delivering education, research profile and professional service commitments. For many, this could be on a short-term contract. Additionally, workloads in UK HE has become a highly contested issue [30]. Making this transition requires learning. The quality of learning support provided will be promoted in part by the strength of the community of practice operating within the department [18] and the communities of practice that exist at a national and international level [29]. Furthermore, this can and should be co-constructed with early-career academics; we refer to co-construction as the joint creation of an action, activity, identity, institution, or other culturally meaningful reality, including this paper [15].

In computing education, there are a number of discipline-specific challenges that have been discussed in the literature, especially at university-level [8, 21, 26]. For example, the effective teaching of introductory programming has persistent issues: (i) Attrition and failure rates can be high, with a range of issues impacting barriers to progression [7, 34]; (ii) Student satisfaction is often reported as below that of other disciplines [27], with more challenges in subdisciplines [17]; (iii) Discipline-related challenges linked to facilitating teamwork [11, 22]; (iv) Computing graduates' employment prospects are reported to be inferior to other STEM graduates [4, 24]; (iv) The appropriate handling of gender inclusion [36] and neurodiversity [28]. Addressing these challenges requires the development of specialised educational competencies alongside technical skills and outputs [31].

Together these pressures highlight that early career academics could potentially benefit from further support from the wider community. Offering developmental events and mentoring are tangible ways of providing assistance. Mentoring is commonly used in HE, this can help to diversify the staff base [10]. However, restricting guidance and support to within one university rather than a wider community has its limitations [10], so a wider community mentoring scheme would be beneficial. Use of buddying schemes for learners in higher education is commonly reported to be beneficial, for example [12, 20]. Use of buddying between academic colleagues is less well reported. Buddying has been reported as a mechanism to help support the onboarding of expatriate academics to a particular university [35]. Attempting to establish a nationwide, cross university scheme presents a new departure. The genesis for such a scheme came from the early-career colleagues themselves and it has been configured entirely around their suggestions.

3 WHERE DOES IT FIT?

To date, there have principally been three key participant groups: *Early-career lecturers* who have recently been appointed to an academic post. These may have teaching and research or alternatively more teaching focused responsibilities. Some have joined from industry, others from a research background; *Aspiring academics* who are typically PhD students or post-docs and are aspiring towards a full academic role; and *more established/senior colleagues* who are

new to UK HE and hence are seeking help to acclimatise. There is some variety too in the colleagues who are supporting the initiative. All have had a degree of seniority either via their presence in the computing education community or the responsibilities they adopt within their own university and/or nationally. There has been significant representation from members of the professoriate but not exclusively so.

4 DOES IT WORK?

The scheme has been run as a trial/prototype for a number of UK universities. This was deliberate in order to establish the feasibility of the approach, and facilitate the co-construction, to allow the scheme to evolve and develop in response to the voice of the participants. Of note is that there are a number of participants who have actively engaged with all the events to date. There is also a growing number of requests, commonly from peers at the university of attendees to join the scheme.

Anonymous post-event surveys have also been used for evaluation. 11 participants completing the post event survey. When asked “*Overall, was the workshop useful*”, four attendees strongly agreed and seven agreed. One item of constructive feedback received was the session could be even more interactive which was taken on board for the second event. The second event was again well received; of particular note was the strength of positive feeling related to the networking opportunities. Another outcome was the suggestion that buddying should be considered as a possibility alongside mentoring. In terms of post-event feedback, there were eight respondents, six of whom strongly agreed that “*Overall, the workshop was useful*” and a further two agreed. For the third event, only two responses to the survey were received; again, these were positive. Other feedback referred to workload pressures. It is also noted the session was less computer science specific than the previous events. The session was scheduled when many colleagues would be engaged in marking, which was deliberate so the activity could be discussed. On reflection, attendance may have been higher at another time of year.

Over summer 2021, volunteer mentors and expressions of interest for mentoring were sought. This resulted in 12 expressions of interest in having a mentor. Many colleagues seek mentoring in more than one area: 92% are seeking support with research, 75% with career and career planning, 58% in education, 57% in their subdiscipline area and 50% in professional membership and registration. Initial mentoring relationships were established in September 2021. The First meetings in October 2021 are being closely monitored to observe the emerging practice, and to understand support, promotion and scalability needs.

The buddying scheme pilot began in July 2021; an initial group of six buddies has been meeting regularly since then to discuss items of common interest. In October 2021, a second group of five buddies was formed and is progressing similarly. Both groups are being closely monitored in the same way as the mentor meetings.

5 WHO ELSE HAS DONE THIS?

As discussed in Section 2, in addition to wider educational challenges (including the ongoing impact of COVID-19 [2, 6]), many disciplines including computing exhibit a range of specific challenges.

The limitations of generic institutional schemes to address the educational challenges of physics has been reported [19]. Mathematics is one such discipline and one professional body (the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications) has previously run courses for early-career colleagues to help establish them in the discipline [14]. Peer-to-peer conversations have been reported to be a commonly used mechanism for professional development [16] and a number of national and international communities exist to help promote such conversations. Internationally, groups such as ACM SIGCSE or the IEEE Education Society promote this dialogue via conferences and other activities. In the UK and Ireland, a SIGCSE Chapter further promotes these discussions by running two annual conferences, one focusing on practice (CEP) and the other on educational research (UKICER). Training programmes are run by individual higher education providers. Additionally, in the UK, Advance HE delivers training programmes for academics at different stages of their career [13]. However this training is not discipline specific. The UK Council of Professors and Heads of Computing (CPHC) run occasional workshops in a variety of issues, for example the “Chair in 10 Years” workshop which is aimed at facilitating career planning and “New Heads of Department” workshop. Whilst these are well received contributions, it is clear that developmental needs are broader than those supported by these workshops.

6 WHAT WILL YOU DO NEXT?

Firstly, to be sustainable and to scale up the programme *a)* so it is available to a much wider population and *b)* has repeating components there is a need to move to a product which has the capacity to manage larger cohorts and a greater volume of material. This will require sustainable funding. Secondly, the aspiration is to provide a searchable repository (developmental sessions, shared resources, and examples of good practice) from the workshops. Thirdly, the team has partnered with the UK’s chartered/professional body for the computing/IT industry (BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT), who can host the repository and facilitate mentoring and buddying schemes the project is trying to establish. We have recently established a new Special Interest Group (SIG) within the BCS to further develop this work. Fourthly, the initiative aims to help address equality, diversity and inclusivity (EDI) issues in computer science. There are specific related challenges in computing; for example, accessibility of systems and digital poverty. Supporting early career colleagues to identify and address EDI challenges and embedding good practice is a critical aspect of the project. Fifthly, it is recognised that there is a virtuous circle between computing education research and sustained improvement in computing education. It has also been argued that “the rapidly evolving nature of computing together with changing educational technologies encourages continuous review of the pedagogy for computing courses” [1]. Progress in establishing computer science educational research has been slow and whilst there have been notable examples of excellence in this space, universal adoption and universal acceptance has not happened. This programme will promote educational research to early career colleagues and thereby help to establish it as a mainstream thread in computer science research. Finally, the project wishes to examine if the programme framework is transferable to other disciplines; one of the aspirations is to use the work we are doing in

computer science to create a generic framework which will allow other STEM, near-STEM and potentially non-STEM subjects to contextualise subject specific programmes for their new academics.

7 WHY ARE YOU TELLING US THIS?

In the UK, the communities of practice related to computing education have rapidly evolved in recent years. The initiative discussed in this paper further develops this work by: (i) creating networking and developmental events aimed specifically at introducing early career colleagues to one another and the wider community of computing education practice; (ii) establishing co-constructed national mentoring programmes, running across universities providing support for early career colleagues development in research and education; and (iii) providing scaffolding for nascent professional networks across universities by the establishment of a nationwide buddying scheme. The initiative presents significant opportunities for those at differing stages of their career, co-constructed with a diverse groups of academics. For those at an early career stage, the initiative presents a further source of beneficial professional development. For those with more experience, it gives an opportunity to better understand challenges early career colleagues face as well as to grow their professional networks and foster the emerging community of computing education practice in the UK.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sylvia Alexander and Alastair Irons. 2004. *Effective Learning and Teaching in Computing*. RoutledgeFarmer.
- [2] Tom Crick. 2021. COVID-19 and Digital Education: A Catalyst for Change? *ITNOW* 63, 1 (2021), 16–17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/itnow/bwab005>
- [3] Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, Alan Hayes, Alastair Irons, and Tom Prickett. 2021. Supporting Early-Career Academics in the UK Computer Science Community. In *Proc. of CEP'21*. ACM, 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3437914.3437977>
- [4] Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, Alastair Irons, and Tom Prickett. 2019. A UK Case Study on Cybersecurity Education and Accreditation. In *Proc. of FIE'19*. IEEE, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE43999.2019.9028407>
- [5] Tom Crick, Cathryn Knight, Richard Watermeyer, and Janet Goodall. 2020. The Impact of COVID-19 and “Emergency Remote Teaching” on the UK Computer Science Education Community. In *Proc. of UKICER'20*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3416465.3416472>
- [6] Tom Crick, Tom Prickett, and Julie Walters. 2021. A Preliminary Study Exploring the Impact of Learner Resilience under Enforced Online Delivery during the COVID-19 Pandemic. In *Proc. ITiCSE'21*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3456565.3460050>
- [7] James H. Davenport, Tom Crick, and Rachid Hourizi. 2020. The Institute of Coding: A University-Industry Collaboration to Address the UK’s Digital Skills Crisis. In *Proc. of EDUCON'20*. IEEE, 1400–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON45650.2020.9125272>
- [8] James H. Davenport, Alan Hayes, Rachid Hourizi, and Tom Crick. 2016. Innovative Pedagogical Practices in the Craft of Computing. In *Proc. of LaTiCE 2016*. IEEE, 115–119. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LaTiCE.2016.38>
- [9] Kenneth E. Foote and Michael N. Solem. 2009. Toward better mentoring for early career faculty: results of a study of US geographers. *International Journal for Academic Development* 14, 1 (2009), 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13601440802659403>
- [10] Leana Golubchik and Mallory Redel. 2018. Diversity in Faculty Recruiting: A WiSE Approach. *SIGMETRICS Perform. Eval. Rev.* 46, 1 (2018), 140–142. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3292040.3219676>
- [11] Neil Andrew Gordon. 2010. Group working and peer assessment – using WebPA to encourage student engagement and participation. *ITALICS* 9, 1 (2010), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.11120/ital.2010.09010020>
- [12] Alan Hayes, Eamonn O’Neill, Fabio Nemetz, and Louise Oliver. 2020. Building an Enhanced Student Experience: Reflections from the Department of Computer Science at the University of Bath. In *Proc. of CEP'20*. ACM, Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3372356.3372363>
- [13] Advance HE. 2020. Training Events for HE Professionals. <https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/training-events>
- [14] IMA. 2019. Induction Course for New Lecturers in the Mathematical Sciences. <https://ima.org.uk/11361/induction-course-for-new-lecturers-in-the-mathematical-sciences-2019/>
- [15] Sally Jacoby and Elinor Ochs. 1995. Co-Construction: An Introduction. *Research on Language and Social Interaction* 28, 3 (1995), 171–183.
- [16] Helen King. 2004. Continuing Professional Development in Higher Education: what do academics do? *Planet* 13, 1 (2004), 26–29. <https://doi.org/10.11120/plan.2004.00130026>
- [17] Antti Knutas, Timo Hynninen, and Maija Hujala. 2021. To Get Good Student Ratings should you only Teach Programming Courses? Investigation and Implications of Student Evaluations of Teaching in a Software Engineering Context. In *Proc. of 43rd IEEE/ACM ICSE-SEET*. 253–260. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE-SEET52601.2021.00035>
- [18] Klara Bolander Laksov, Sarah Mann, and Lars Owe Dahlgren. 2008. Developing a community of practice around teaching: a case study. *Higher Education Research & Development* 27, 2 (2008), 121–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360701805259>
- [19] Joao Magueijo. 2009. Cargo-cult Training. *Physics World* (2009), 16–17. <https://physicsworld.com/a/cargo-cult-training>
- [20] Lesley May and Nicky Danino. 2020. The PASS Effect: How Peer Assisted Study Sessions Contribute to a Computing Community. In *Proc. of CEP'20*. ACM, Article 15, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3372356.3372371>
- [21] Ellen Murphy, Tom Crick, and James H. Davenport. 2017. An Analysis of Introductory Programming Courses at UK Universities. *The Art, Science, and Engineering of Programming* 1(2), 18 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.22152/programming-journal.org/2017/1/18>
- [22] Helen Phillips, Wendy Ivins, Tom Prickett, Julie Walters, and Rebecca Strachan. 2021. Using Contributing Student Pedagogy to Enhance Support for Teamworking in Computer Science Projects. In *Proc. of CEP'21*. ACM, 29–32. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3437914.3437976>
- [23] Tom Prickett, Morgan Harvey, Julie Walters, Longzhi Yang, and Tom Crick. 2020. Resilience and Effective Learning in First-Year Undergraduate Computer Science. In *Proc. of ITiCSE'20*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3341525.3387372>
- [24] Nigel Shadbolt. 2016. Review of computer sciences degree accreditation and graduate employability. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/computer-science-degree-accreditation-and-graduate-employability-shadbolt-review>.
- [25] Angela Siegel, Mark Zarb, Bedour Alshaighy, Jeremiah Blanchard, Tom Crick, Richard Glassey, John R. Holt, Celine Latulipe, Charles Riedesel, Mali Senapathi, Simon, and David Williams. 2021. Educational Landscapes During and After COVID-19. In *Proc. of ITiCSE'21*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3456565.3461439>
- [26] Simon, Raina Mason, Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, and Ellen Murphy. 2018. Language Choice in Introductory Programming Courses at Australasian and UK Universities. In *Proc. of SIGCSE'18*. ACM, 852–857. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3159450.3159547>
- [27] Jane Sinclair, Matthew Butler, Michael Morgan, and Sara Kalvala. 2015. Measures of Student Engagement in Computer Science. In *Proc. of ITiCSE'15*. ACM, 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2729094.2742586>
- [28] Sylvia Stuurman, Harrie J.M. Passier, Frédérique Geven, and Erik Barendsen. 2019. Autism: Implications for Inclusive Education with Respect to Software Engineering. In *Proc. of CSEER'19*. ACM, 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3375258.3375261>
- [29] J. Denard Thomas, Laura Gail Lunsford, and Helena A. Rodrigues. 2015. Early career academic staff support: evaluating mentoring networks. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management* 37, 3 (2015), 320–329. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2015.1034426>
- [30] UCU. 2016. Workload is an education issue. https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/8195/Workload-is-an-education-issue-UCU-workload-survey-report-2016/pdf/ucu_workloadsurvey_fullreport_jun16.pdf
- [31] Rupert Ward, Oliver Phillips, David Bowers, Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, Paul Hanna, Alan Hayes, Alastair Irons, and Tom Prickett. 2021. Towards a 21st Century Personalised Learning Skills Taxonomy. In *Proc. of EDUCON'21*. IEEE, 344–354. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON46332.2021.9453883>
- [32] Richard Watermeyer, Tom Crick, Cathryn Knight, and Janet Goodall. 2021. COVID-19 and digital disruption in UK universities: afflictions and affordances of emergency online migration. *Higher Education* 81 (2021), 623–641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00561-y>
- [33] Richard Watermeyer, Kalpana Shankar, Tom Crick, Cathryn Knight, Fiona McGaughey, Joanna Hardman, Venkata Ratnadeep Suri, Roger Chung, and Dean Phelan. 2021. ‘Pandemia’: a reckoning of UK universities’ corporate response to COVID-19 and its academic fallout. *British Journal of Sociology of Education* 42, 5–6 (2021), 651–666. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01425692.2021.1937058>
- [34] Christopher Watson and Frederick W. B. Li. 2014. Failure Rates in Introductory Programming Revisited. In *Proc. of ITiCSE'14*. ACM, 39–44. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2591708.2591749>
- [35] Stephen Wilkins and Selina Neri. 2019. Managing Faculty in Transnational Higher Education: Expatriate Academics at International Branch Campuses. *Journal of Studies in International Education* 23, 4 (2019), 451–472. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315318814200>
- [36] Emily Winter, Lisa Thomas, and Lynne Blair. 2021. ‘It’s a Bit Weird, but It’s OK’? How Female Computer Science Students Navigate Being a Minority. ACM, 436–442. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3430665.3456329>