



## Examining Operational Wastes within Greek Banking Operations

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management</i>
Manuscript ID	IJPPM-01-2019-0004.R1
Manuscript Type:	Standard Paper
Keywords:	Banking, business improvement, Waste

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Examining Operational Wastes within Greek Banking Operations

### **Abstract**

#### *Purpose*

In order to address operational effectiveness in the banking sector caused by the 2008 global economic crisis, this study examines the nature of operational wastes that exist within four large Greek banks.

#### *Design/methodology/approach*

A Delphi study was undertaken with ten managers and ten employees.

#### *Findings*

The waste of underutilised people is found to be the dominant form of waste present and affecting the efficiency of banking operations and managers and employees consider the waste of underutilised people as having a significant influence on the efficiency of the banking sector.

#### *Practical implications*

This has implications for managers of banking operations needing to address efficiencies in an increasingly competitive global economic environment. The paper also highlights the drawbacks of analysing typologies of waste across organisations and industrial sectors.

#### *Originality/value*

While some studies have examined the overall efficiency-banking sector, to date, none has explored the nature of the inefficiencies that manifest as waste.

#### Keywords:

Banking, Waste, Operations,

## 1. Introduction

The 2008 global economic crisis was caused by a complex combination of rapid financial expansion, credit boom, increasing asset prices, and financial liberalisation and deregulation (Claessens and Kodres, 2014). Not only has this generated long-term economic uncertainty, bailouts (Kouretas and Vlamis, 2010) and a reshaping of financial institutions (HBA, 2017, p2), but it has led to a downfall in operational effectiveness across the banking sector. Argyrou and Kontonikas (2010) described the effect as being like a contagion that had spread across Europe. In a review of the overall banking efficiency in 25 EU countries for 2005-2006 (pre-crisis period) and 2009-2010 (post-crisis period), Alzubaidi and Bougheas (2012) concluded that there had been an average decrease in efficiency of 12%. In the cases of Greece, Belgium and Denmark the decrease was even larger. For instance, among several such studies of efficiency within the Greek banking sector (Tsonas et al., 2003; Reztis, 2006; Aggelopoulos et al. 2010; Alzubaidi and Bougheas, 2012), Varias and Sofianopoulou's (2012) study of the 19 biggest Greek commercial banks in 2009 found that that 68.42% of them were inefficient.

'Lean' tools and techniques have been the cornerstone of operational improvements for several decades (White *et al.*, 2019; White and James, 2014; Syddell, 2005; Verstraete, 2004; Alavi, 2003; McCurry and McIvor, 2001; Mason-Jones et al., 2000) typically through the identification and elimination of non-value adding activities or 'waste' (Andersson, Eriksson and Torstensson, 2006). Many manufacturing industries have successfully adopted these techniques in order to improve operational efficiencies, including automotive, aerospace, information technology and electronics (Sohal and Egglestone, 1994; Doolen and Hacker, 2005; Neumann et al, 2012). However, their uses are not limited to the manufacturing sector and they have also been adopted in the public sector (White and Cicmil, 2015; Bateman, Hines and Davidson, 2014; Radnor and Walley, 2010), education (Emiliani, 2004), call centres (Piercy, Rich, 2009), healthcare (McCann, Hassard and Granter, 2015; McIntosh, Sheppy and Cohen, 2014; Esain, Williams and Massey, 2010), and, apposite to the context of this study, they have been employed in the financial services sector (Ndaita, Gachie and Kiveu, 2015; Leyer and Moormann, 2015; Delgado, Ferreira and Branco, 2010).

Recognising the current inefficiencies in the banking sector, particularly within Greece, and the importance of waste elimination to improve operational performance, this study examines the nature of operational wastes that exist within the banking function. This is achieved through a Delphi study of managers and employees of four large Greek banks. This is one of very few studies that examine the nature of operational waste within the service sector. The findings indicate that both managers and employees consider the waste of underutilised people as having a significant influence on the efficiency of the banking sector. It is also important to interpret studies of waste within a given organisational context as interorganisational and inter-sector comparisons are otherwise difficult to make. Whilst studies of typologies of waste are a useful, quantitative analytical methods may be more informative for managers wishing to address operational wastes.

The paper is structured as follows: firstly a review of the studies of waste is made. Following this the research methodology is detailed before the analysis and discussion are presented. It finishes with concluding statements and suggestions for future research.

## 2. Literature Review

Waste has been widely defined as the use of resources for any means other than the creation of value for a customer and is therefore a target for reduction and elimination throughout all

operations (Womack et al., 1990; Liker, 1996; Hines and Rich, 1997; Russell and Taylor, 1999; Conner, 2001; Flinchbaugh, 2001; Rawabdeh 2005; Jimmerson et al, 2005). Canel et al., (2000, p. 51) proffer a useful working definition of waste as ‘anything other than the minimum amount of equipment, materials, parts, space and worker’s time, which are essential to add value to the product or service’.

Taichi Ohno (1988) is regarded as the father of the Toyota Production System, one of the most celebrated integrated systems for the continual improvement of manufacturing efficiency and effectiveness through the identification and reduction of waste. He categorized waste into seven types: defects, overproduction, inventory, over-processing, unnecessary motion, transportation (conveyance), and waiting.

Defects: refers to any product or service the customer is unwilling to accept (Gopinath and Freiheit, 2012). The crucial issue for a business is the identification of defects in order to take steps to then reduce or eliminate them to prevent the need for re-work or avoid customer complaint (Longbottom and Hilton, 2011). Undetected defects incur time and cost penalties. In a study of banking, Bicheno (2008) divided defects into two categories: internal and external failure. The former could be the wrong details being entered on a credit card or sums transferred to the wrong bank account; the latter a failure that invokes warranty, or repairs to software or hardware of bank system.

Overproduction: refers to making a product before a demand exists and which ‘leads to excessive work in-progress stock which result in the physical dislocation of operations with consequent poorer communication’ (Taylor and Brunt, 2001, p.28). Reducing or preventing overproduction through better alignment with demand, represents savings in terms of labour and material costs (Yu-Lee, 2011). Excluding defects, Sowards (2017) describes overproduction as ‘the mother’ of all other wastes.

Inventory: refers to any materials an organisation holds to satisfy internal or external customer demand (Mangan et al., 2012). The crucial characteristics of it rests on the strategic decisions regarding what to stock, how much to stock, and where to stock (Islam et al., 2012). Unnecessary inventory in the form of finished or semi-finished products or components creates waste from storage costs and limits business competitiveness (Bicheno, 2008; Damrath, 2012).

Overprocessing refers to redundant effort and unnecessary extra steps (Ortiz, 2009) that leads to undertaking more fabrication or assembly line work than is necessary to meet customer needs (Yu-Le, 2011). Overprocessing can be unnecessary reviews or requests for signatures or activities that leads to additional consumption of parts and raw materials per unit of production, increased waste, energy usage, and emissions (Hallam and Contreras, 2016). An increase of flow lengths and bottlenecks that affect the efficiency of operations (Bicheno and Hines, 2004).

Motion: can refer to both human activity and organisational layout and is the unnecessary movement of people and equipment often derived from poor workplace organisation (Sullivan et al., 2002). Detailing the specifics of motion associated with waste Okpala (2014) suggests that any bending, lifting, stretching and kneeling that is not undertaken without reference to the application of ergonomics can represent not only a monetary and equipment cost (from wear) but an unnecessary stress on personnel. Through addressing and monitoring movement, managers and employees can identify needless activity and better utilise their time to serve more customers (Womack, Jones and Ross, 1990).

1  
2  
3 Transportation (Conveyance): is a necessary element of business operations; however, the  
4 transfer of materials, components, work in progress or finished products from places of work,  
5 warehouses or suppliers between processes does not add value (Chomatowska and  
6 Żarczyńska- Dobiesz, 2014). Moving products more than is required slows output, consumes  
7 labour and can consume finite equipment capacity (Yu-Lee, 2011)  
8  
9

10  
11 Waiting: refers to any resources or material staying idle during working hours (Gopinath and  
12 Freiheit, 2009). Waiting reduces customer satisfaction and increases time, quality,  
13 productivity and operations cost (Womack and Jones, 2003). From a customer point of view,  
14 waiting is boring, frustrating and irritating (Hui and Tse 1996; Katz et al., 1991) and  
15 represents a major factor in customer satisfaction and business competitiveness (Bicheno,  
16 2008). The perception of the service can be affected by aspects such as waiting time and the  
17 length of queues and can generate a negative view of the organisation that ultimately causes  
18 the loss of consumers (Taylor, 1994; Hui and Tse, 1996; Bielen and Demoulin, 2007).  
19 Frustration caused by waiting is not restricted to face-to-face encounters, Demoulin and  
20 Djelassi (2013) note that slow online banking systems can have a similar effect on evaluation  
21 of service.  
22

23  
24 It can be seen that the majority of research around waste identification and elimination has  
25 emanated from the manufacturing sector. Typically, it is seen to add cost in the form of  
26 inventory, set-up, scrap and rework (Svenssen, 2001) while Wojakowski and Warzolek  
27 (2017) noted that 60% of waste is generated by waiting, over processing and motion. Wood  
28 (2004) however, warns that businesses have traditionally concentrated on improving labour  
29 productivity but that in a typical process 95% of the day-to-day activities are non-value  
30 adding and therefore represent a greater proportion of total work that requires examination.  
31

32  
33 Much of the research into operational waste has been influenced by Ohno's seminal typology  
34 (see Table 1). These examinations of the seven wastes indicate that there are differences in  
35 the occurrences of wastes between primary and secondary manufacturing sectors (for  
36 example Esain, 2000 and Rawabdeh, 2005) and between service and manufacturing sectors  
37 (for example Esain, Griffiths and Hines, 2001 and Goriwondo, Mhlanga and Marecha, 2011).  
38 El-Namrouty and AbuShaaban's (2013) work even indicates that there can be differences  
39 within geographical regions. Collectively they point toward the importance of examining the  
40 prevalence of wastes within specific circumstances and the usefulness of Ohno's  
41 categorization of the seven types of waste in framing such an examination.  
42

43 -----  
44  
45 Insert Table 1 Here  
46  
47 -----  
48

### 49 **3. Methodology**

50  
51 In order to examine the types of waste that exist within Greek banking operations this  
52 research employs a Delphi study (Kosow and Gassner, 2008; Skulmoski, et al., 2007;  
53 Linstone and Turoff, 197; Dalkey and Helmer, 1963). The Delphi technique, pioneered by  
54 Helmer and Dalkey in the 1950's, generally uses a group of people who have a stake or  
55 interest in the research idea to generate and choose a more defined research idea (Robson,  
56 2002).  
57  
58  
59  
60

The technique has been used in studies across a wide variety of sectors including cryptocurrency (White, 2017), business improvement (Harer, 2003; Ray and Sahu, 1989), employee and public relations (Watson, 2008; Wiggington, 1979), knowledge management (Scholl, et al., 2004), marketing (Knutson, et al., 2004; Larreche and Montgomery, 1977), productivity management (Ray and Sahu, 1990), project management (Brill, et al., 2006), supply chain management (Melnik, et al., 2009; Lummus, et al., 2005), tourism (Muller, 2005; Yong, Keng and Leng, 1988), social enterprise (White *et al.*, 2018a and b) and information systems (Hong, et al., 2015; Huang, et al., 2013; Liu, et al., 2010; Bradley and Stewart, 2003; Kell, et al., 2002; Schmidt, et al., 2001; Koskiala and Huhtanen, 1989).

It is an effective technique for combining deductive and inductive approaches to make robust investigations into complex phenomena (Kosow and Gassner, 2008; Petry, 2007; Skulmoski, 2007; Yin, 2003; Rowe and Wright, 1999; de Vos, 1998; Mitchell, 1992; Paliwoda, 1983; Jick, 1979). However, it does present practical challenges that comprise the selection of suitable participants, retaining participant commitment and the utilisation of appropriate analytical instruments (Makkonen, et al., 2016; Gary and von der Gracht, 2015; Okoli and Pawlowski, 2004; Wentholt and Frewer, 2010; Brill, et al., 2006; Paliwoda, 1983).

The approach taken in this study comprises a two-round study, incorporating descriptive statistics and Kendall's W measure of concordance (Gary and von der Gracht, 2015; Boulkedid et al., 2011; Wentholt and Frewer, 2010; Watson, 2008; Petry, et al., 2007; Okoli and Pawlowski, 2004; Scholl, et al., 2004; Harer, 2003). Kendall's W has been widely used in many Delphi studies including cryptocurrency (White, 2017), construction (Idrus and Newman, 2002), education (Manalo, 2013), human resource management (Papavasileiou and Lyons, 2015), information system development (Shang, 2012), performance management (Rashvand and Majid, 2014), retailing (Rupesh and Narayanan, 2016), risk management (Bessette, Campbell-Arvai and Arvai, 2016) and social enterprise (White, *et al.*, 2018a and b). Values of Kendall's W range between 0 (no agreement) and 1 (complete agreement). The interpretation of intermediate measures of W remain moot, some considering values over 0.63 to be moderate degrees of concordance (Hays, 1998) while others consider values of between 0.5 and 0.7 indicate moderate concordance (Schmidt, 1997). When measures of concordance are employed, it is more appropriate to utilise them as inter-round comparators rather than absolute measures since it is not necessarily the purpose of Delphi studies to attempt to reach consensus (Engelke, Mauksch, Darkow and von der Gracht, 2016).

The Delphi panel consisted of ten managers and ten employees (see Table 2 for participant details) of four large Greek banks (see Table 3 for bank details). In order to protect the identities of the participants and the banks within which they work, the specific details of each have been changed (participants are coded 'M' for Managers and 'E' for Employees and numbered 1 to 10). To further protect confidentiality all figures have been approximated. Table 2 outlines the demographic profile of the participants and the banks.

Typical response rates in research vary between 3% and 50% (Ranchord and Zhou, 2001). The face-to-face distribution and collection of the survey instrument resulted in 100% participation across both rounds (Collis and Hussey, 2009). Participants were asked to rank the seven wastes that occurred in banking operations in order of importance. In order to improve the validity of Delphi studies they may be combined with qualitative data capturing methods (Engelke, et al., 2016; de Vos, 2005; Rowe and Wright, 1999). This study employed interviews with two managers from each of the four banks between Round 1 and Round 2 in

order to gain a richer insight into the banking operations and the types of waste that are typically encountered (Denscombe, 2010; Fox, 2009). This data was used to refine the Delphi survey and participants were asked to re-rank the types of waste that occurred in banking operations in Round 2.

-----  
 Insert Table 2 Here  
 -----

The mean age of the sample is 46.5 and the length of service is 21.55 years, which suggests a high level of experience related to the operation of banking systems and customer interaction amongst the sample. Greek banks have historically employed more males than females, especially in management positions and so the split between the genders in the sample is not unusual.

-----  
 Insert Table 3 Here  
 -----

#### 4. Analysis and Discussion

In Round 1 the Employees returned a very low degree of concordance ( $W=0.129$ ) in their assessment of the order of importance of the seven forms of waste. The Managers returned a similarly low degree of concordance ( $W=0.198$ ) in their interpretation. Overall, the combined rankings of the Employees and Managers returned  $W=0.127$ .

Table 4 presents the relative order of importance of each of the wastes in Round 1, as perceived by the Employees group, the Managers group, and their combined rankings. It is interesting to note the marked difference in the Employees' and Managers' appreciation of the importance of Overproduction and Inventory. The Employees regarded Overproduction as a minor issue, but Inventory as a significant problem, whereas the Managers consider Inventory to be insignificant but Overproduction to be their second most important concern. This is curious since both of these forms of waste are highly interrelated: Overproduction leads to an increase in Inventory.

-----  
 Insert Table 4 Here  
 -----

After the first-round questionnaires were collected, two of the eight Managers that were interviewed noted that the efficiency of banking operations relies to some considerable extent upon how the bank appoints the right person in a position. One Manager responded,

*“In my opinion this is one of the basic concerns of the Greek banking system.*

When discussing the issue of staff skills and development, all of the Managers identified the importance of developing the correct skills, that is, those that are relevant to their duties. One manager emphasised the constraints that are placed upon efficacy due to a lack of staff training,

1  
2  
3                   *“The main characteristic is that employees have to accomplish tasks that they are not*  
4                   *sure about, or they may not have the ability.”*  
5

6                   Contrasting this view, several other Managers highlighted the extraordinary depth of  
7                   knowledge of some staff. One extolled the value of their employees that had,  
8

9                   *“...worked in banks for over 20-30 years.”*  
10

11                   Some literature has examined non-value adding activities in other sectors and discovered  
12                   further forms of waste. Womack and Jones (1996) for instance add an eighth waste of  
13                   ‘making the wrong product efficiently’. To this, Bicheno and Hines (2004) add a further three  
14                   comprising the waste of untapped human potential, the waste of inappropriate systems and  
15                   the waste of energy and resources. Baron (2011) suggests that human capital can add value  
16                   where it can be converted into goods or services. Untapped human potential refers to those  
17                   talented employees who possess a high degree of experience or skills but who do not give  
18                   fully to the organisation due to a lack of commitment because of miscommunication amongst  
19                   workers, or because they have been placed in a suboptimal position within the organisation  
20                   (Johnston, 2008). An inappropriate system refers to those such as MRP or ERP, which may  
21                   cause problems with service flow, for example generating extra paperwork, which increases  
22                   costs through unnecessary motion (George, 2003). In recognition of this, the eighth waste of  
23                   ‘Unutilized People’ was added in the second-round questionnaires.  
24  
25

26                   In Round 2 the Employees returned a higher degree of concordance ( $W=0.32$ ) than that  
27                   returned in Round 1 ( $W=0.129$ ). Similarly, the Managers also demonstrated a higher degree  
28                   of concordance ( $W=0.37$ ) than in Round 1 ( $W=0.198$ ). The overall degree of concordance  
29                   was also considerably higher ( $W=0.3$ ).  
30  
31

32                   Table 5 presents the relative order of importance of each of the wastes in Round 2, as  
33                   perceived by each individual group and their combined rankings. It is most interesting to note  
34                   the greater similarity in each group’s perceptions of the relative importance of each form of  
35                   waste: as an increased measure of concordance would suggest. However, what is not  
36                   indicated by the mere comparison of measures of  $W$  is the nature of the changes that have  
37                   taken place. It is significant that the Employees’ appreciation of the relative importance of  
38                   each of the wastes has changed very little between rounds whereas the Managers’ relative  
39                   rankings have changed considerably: in particular, the perceptions of the significance of  
40                   Overproduction and Inventory are more in accord.  
41  
42

43                   It is also encouraging to note that in Round 2 both Employees and Managers recognised  
44                   Underutilized Resources as a significant source of waste that exists, however, it is  
45                   correspondingly disappointing to note that all staff appreciate that their own talent is not  
46                   leveraged to its maximum capability. Under considerable pressure to reduce spending on  
47                   operations and offer the customer greater value for money it falls to those who manage the  
48                   banking system to seek to reduce instances of waste and a direct and effective way of doing  
49                   this is to concentrate efforts on making sure that the personnel employed by the bank are  
50                   effectively utilized.  
51  
52

53                   -----  
54                   Insert Table 5 Here  
55                   -----  
56  
57

58                   Inspection of the individual responses indicates that participant E6’s rankings changed  
59                   considerably between rounds. Indeed, the sum total of their rankings for each of the wastes  
60



1  
2  
3 changed by 11 points. In comparison, the other Employees' rankings changed between 0 and  
4 6 points between rounds. Kendall's W for Round 1 and eliminating the rankings provided by  
5 participant E6, returns  $W=0.17$ . This suggests that E6's responses are somewhat anomalous  
6 in Round 1 (compared to the rest of the participant group). However, a similar analysis of  
7 Round 2 results, removing the responses for E6, does not produce a comparable change in  
8 Kendall's W (with E6  $W=0.324$ , without E6  $W=0.327$ ) and this indicates that E6's results are  
9 harmonious with the remainder of the group in Round 2.  
10  
11

12 Similar inspection of the variance in the Managers' rankings between rounds indicates that  
13 their rankings changed considerably. The minimum change in rankings was 5 points (for  
14 participants M2 and M7), whereas M1 changed their rankings by the most (10 points), and  
15 participants M9, M10 and M5 each changed their rankings by 9 points. It is this considerable  
16 shift in appreciation of the importance of each of the wastes that results in their views  
17 becoming more aligned with those of the Employees in Round 2 of the study. This is  
18 important as firstly it (problematically) suggests that there is a tacit difference between  
19 Employees' and Managers' understanding of the types of wastes that are prevalent, and  
20 secondly it (constructively) indicates that Managers' perceptions are highly fluid and subject  
21 to change upon reflection.  
22  
23

24 In the absence of any other studies that make an examination of the forms of waste that are  
25 present within the banking sector it is necessary to make comparison to those studies that  
26 have been undertaken in other industries and sectors (Table 1). Generally, the managers and  
27 employees of Greek banks highlighted the issues of defects, overproduction and waiting, and  
28 this broadly reflects the situation in other sectors. There are some exceptions, for instance,  
29 overproduction, as one would expect, is not a pertinent issue in a job-shop where production  
30 is not arranged around batched work, similarly, bread manufacturing may be arranged upon a  
31 continuous process and therefore not be subject to problems of overproduction. Interestingly,  
32 in the only other examination of a service industry (procurement services), the perception of  
33 the importance of the waste of defects differs markedly. Making a critique of this difference  
34 is impossible without detailed knowledge of the systems of work that are employed: the  
35 procurement services function may for instance employ automated electronic procurement as  
36 part of an enterprise-wide management information system, in which case the chance of  
37 defects arising may be negligible (Chaffey and White, 2011). It must also be considered that  
38 unlike procurement, banking operations are customer-facing. Simple acts such as the entry of  
39 wrong data or payment to the wrong vendor have great impact in by undermining customer  
40 satisfaction and in some circumstances can lead to Government intervention, reference to an  
41 ombudsman and subjection to audit. It is not unreasonable then that managers and employees  
42 should highlight the importance of defects against a background such measures and during a  
43 time when national and international competition for banking services is high.  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **5. Conclusion**

49 While some studies have examined the overall efficiency of the Greek banking sector, to  
50 date, none has explored the nature of the inefficiencies that manifest as waste. This study  
51 addresses this by undertaking a Delphi study of manager and employee perceptions of  
52 operational waste within several large Greek banks. This research was undertaken at a time  
53 when the Greek banking sector was taking great steps to present a more efficient and  
54 effective service to both their international and national customers and to provide reassurance  
55 that they had addressed many of the criticism that had been raised by those such as the IMF  
56 and the World Bank. This study has highlighted that managers and employees consider the  
57 waste of unutilized people as having a significant impact on the efficiency of banking sector,  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 something that has rarely been noticed in other industries and acts an expansion on our  
4 understanding in this area of management theory.  
5

6 Many studies of waste have adopted the 'classical' seven wastes that were originally  
7 proposed by Taichi Ohno. While these are useful aids for the identification of operational  
8 inefficiencies their application is more difficult in service environments. Future work could  
9 attempt to develop service-based definitions and descriptions of each of the types of waste. In  
10 addition, future studies of waste, and practical initiatives to examine operational inefficiency  
11 by practicing managers, should be mindful of the extended typologies of waste that exist, in  
12 particular those that comprise that of 'underutilized resources' in order to ensure that this  
13 important and most valuable asset is not neglected. Organisations are more likely to improve  
14 their long-term prospects where they are proactive in considering the underutilisation of  
15 people and where they take steps to ensure that they maximise the potential to use their skills  
16 and knowledge propitiously.  
17  
18

19 This study indicates that it is imperative to fully understand the operational context of the  
20 research site in order to successfully interpret the results. For instance, the rank order of the  
21 importance of wastes in Greek banks closely resembles those found in some manufacturing  
22 environments but varies from those found in service environments where it may be assumed  
23 that there would be a greater degree of commonality. This raises questions over the  
24 comparability of analyses of wastes between sectors and even between different parts of a  
25 single organisation. This has practical implications for managers that are attempting to  
26 benchmark their performance both inter- and intra-sector. We proffer that this highlights the  
27 importance of the fundamental message of lean that is 'continual improvement'. While  
28 analyses of types of waste may be useful indicators of the nature of the operational  
29 inefficiencies that require attention, quantitative measurements of wastes through techniques  
30 such as process mapping may be more valuable in allowing comparative analyses between  
31 sectors and the objective assessment of the effectiveness of intervention strategies (White and  
32 Cicmil, 2016; White and James, 2014).  
33  
34  
35

36 The generalisability of this study's findings is limited by the relatively small sample size that  
37 is necessary to practicably operationalise the Delphi method. However, the results are based  
38 upon data from four of the largest Greek banks and therefore can be said to have reached  
39 meaningful conclusions. Future research could adopt survey instruments in order to garner  
40 results from a larger sample.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

- Alavi, S. (2003), 'Leaning the right way', *Manufacturing Engineer*, Vol. 82 No. 3, pp. 32-35.
- Alzubaidi, H. and Bougheas, S. (2012), "The impact of the global financial crisis on European Banking Efficiency", *Centre for Finance and Credit Markets*.
- Andersson, R., Eriksson, H. and Torstensson, H. (2006). "Similarities and differences between TQM, six sigma and lean", *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 282-296.
- Argyrou, M. G. and A. Kontonikas. (2010), "The EMU Sovereign-Debt Crisis: Fundamentals, Expectations and Contagion." *Cardiff Business School Working Paper* E2010/9.
- Baron, A. (2011), "Measuring Human Capital", *Strategic HR Review*, Vol 10 No 2, *International Journal of Quality Service Sciences*. pp. 30-35.
- Bateman, N., Hines, P., and Davidson, P. (2014), "Wider Applications for Lean: An examination of the fundamental principles within public sector organisations". *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 63 No 5, pp. 550-568.
- Bessette, D.L., Campbell-Arvai, V. and Arai, J. (2016), "Expanding the Reach of participatory risk management: testing an online decision-aiding framework for informing internally consistent choices." *Risk Analysis*, Vol. 36 No. 5, pp. 992-1005.
- BeyondLean (2011), "Value stream mapping", available at: [www.beyondlean.com/value-streammapping.html](http://www.beyondlean.com/value-streammapping.html) (accessed November 2017).
- Bicheno, J. (2008), *The Lean Toolbox for Service Systems*, United Kingdom: Picsie Books, United Kingdom.
- Bicheno, J. and Hines, P. (2004), *The New Lean Toolbox: Towards Fast, Flexible Flow*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edn. Buckingham, England: Production and Inventory Control, Systems and Industrial Engineering Books.
- Bielen, F. and Demoulin, N. (2007), "Waiting time influence on the satisfaction-loyalty relationship in services", *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp.174-193.
- Boulkedid , R., Abdoul, H., Loustau, M., Sibony, O. and Alberti, C. (2011), "Using and Reporting the Delphi Method for Selecting Healthcare Quality Indicators: A Systematic Review". *PLos One*,
- Bradley L. and Stewart, K. (2003), "A Delphi Study of Internet Banking". *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 21 No. 4/5, pp. 272-281.
- Brill J.M., Bishop M.J. and Walker, A, E. (2006), "The Competencies and Characteristics of an Effective Project Manager: A Web-Based Delphi Study", *Educational Technology, Research and Development*, Vol. 54 No. 2, pp.115-140.
- Canel, C., Rosen, D. and Anderson, E.A. (2000), "Just-in-time is not just for manufacturing: a service perspective", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 100 No. 2, pp. 1-60.
- Chaffey, D. and White, G.R.T. (2011), *Business Information Management*, second edition. Pearson Education: UK.
- Chen J. and Cox, R. (2012), "Value Stream Management for Lean Office - A Case Study," *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 17-29.

- 1  
2  
3 Chomałowska B. and Żarczyńska-Dobiesz, A. (2014), "Elimination of waste in production  
4 enterprises – case studies". *Research in Logistic and Production*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 157–166.
- 5  
6 Claessens, S. and Kodres, L. (2014), *The Regulatory Responses to the Global Financial*  
7 *Crisis: Some Uncomfortable Questions*. IMF Working Paper WP/14/46  
8
- 9 Conner, G. (2001), *Lean Manufacturing for the Small Shop*, Society of Manufacturing  
10 Engineers. (SME), Dearborn, MI.
- 11  
12 Dalkey, N. and Helmer, O. (1963), "An Experimental Application of the Delphi Method to  
13 the Use of Experts", *Management Science*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp.458-467.
- 14  
15 Damrath, F. (2012), "Increasing competitiveness of service companies: developing  
16 conceptual models for implementing Lean Management in service companies" *Politecnico di*  
17 *Milano*
- 18  
19 De Vos, A.S. (2005), "Qualitative data analysis and interpretation", in De Vos, A.S.,  
20 Strydom, H., Fouché (Eds.) Pretoria: Van Schaik Publishers.
- 21  
22 Delgado, C., Ferreira, M. and Branco, M.C. (2010), "The Implementation of Lean Six Sigma  
23 in Financial Services Organizations". *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*,  
24 Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 512-523.
- 25  
26 Demoulin, N. T. M. and Djelassi, S. (2013), "Customer responses to waits for online  
27 banking service delivery", *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*,  
28 Vol. 41 No. 6, pp.442-460.
- 29  
30 Doffing, M. (2012), "Streamlining operations can help banks add value", *North Western*  
31 *Financial Review*.
- 32  
33 Domingo, R. T. (2015), *Identifying and Eliminating the Seven Wastes or Muda*, Asian  
34 Institute of Management rtdonline
- 35  
36 Doolen, T.L. and Hacker, M. E. (2005), "A Review of Lean Assessment in Organizations: An  
37 Exploratory Study of Lean Practices by Electronics Manufacturers". *International Journal of*  
38 *Manufacturing Systems*. Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 55-67.
- 39  
40 El-Namrouty, K. A. and AbuShaaban, M. S. (2013), "Seven wastes elimination targeted by  
41 lean manufacturing case study: Gaza strip manufacturing firms", *International Journal of*  
42 *Economics, Finance and Management Sciences*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 68-80.
- 43  
44 Emiliani, M.L (2004), "Improving business school courses by applying lean principles  
45 and practices", *Quality Assurance in Education*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp.175-187.
- 46  
47 Engelke, H., Mauksch, S., Darkow, I., and von der Gracht, H. (2016), "Heading toward a  
48 more social future? Scenarios for social enterprises in Germany". *Business and Society*, Vol.  
49 55 No. 1, pp. 56–89.
- 50  
51 Esain, A. (2000), Networks, Benchmarking and Development of the Strategic Supply Base: A  
52 Case Study, *International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications*, 3(2) European  
53 Union (2013) "The Urban and Regional Dimension of the Crisis: Eighth Report on  
54 Economic, Social and territorial Cohesion". Brussels, 5-8 September. Brussels: Publications  
55 Office.
- 56  
57 Esain, A., Griffiths, D. and Hines, P. (2001), "Performance Evaluation in the DVLA" in:  
58 (Ed.) Erridge, A., Ruth, F. and McIlroy, J. (2001) *Best Practice Procurement: Public and*  
59 *Private Sector Perspectives*, Hampshire: Gower Publishing Limited.
- 60

- 1  
2  
3 Esain, A., Williams, S. and Massey, L. (2010), "Combining Planned and Emergent Change in  
4 a Healthcare Lean Transformation". *Public Money and Management*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 21-  
5 26.  
6  
7 Flinchbaugh, J. (2001), "Beyond lean: building sustainable business and people success  
8 through new ways of thinking", *Center for Quality of Management Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 2,  
9 pp. 37-50.  
10  
11 Gary, J.E, von der Gracht, H. (2015), "The Future of Foresight Professionals: results from a  
12 global Delphi study", *Futures*, Vol. 71, pp. 132-145.  
13  
14 Gopinath, S, and Freiheit, T (2012), "A waste relationship model and center point tracking  
15 metric for lean manufacturing systems", *IIE Transactions*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 136-154.  
16  
17 Goriwondo, W. M., Mhlanga, S., and Marecha, A. (2011) 'Use of The Value Stream  
18 Mapping Tool For Waste Reduction In Manufacturing. Case Study For Bread Manufacturing'  
19 In Zimbabwe, International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations  
20 Management, 2011, pp.236-241.  
21  
22 Hallam, C. and Contreras, C. (2016), "Integrating lean and green management", *Management*  
23 *Decision*, Vol. 54 No. 9, pp. 2157-2187.  
24  
25 Haque, B. and James-Moore, M. (2004), "Applying lean thinking to new product  
26 introduction". *Journal of Engineering Design*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 1-31.  
27  
28 Harer, J.B. (2003), "Performance Measures of Quality for Academic Libraries Implementing  
29 Continuous Improvement Projects: A Delphi Study", *Advances in Library Administration*  
30 *and Organization*, Vol 20, pp. 229-296.  
31  
32 HBA (2000), "e-banking: New Horizons for the Corporate Banking", Hellenic Banks  
33 Association.  
34  
35 Huang, S., Wu M. and Chen, L. (2013), "Critical Success Factors in Aligning IT and  
36 Business Objectives: A Delphi Study". *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 24 No. 10, pp. 1219-  
37 1240.  
38  
39 Hui, M.K. and Tse, D.K. (1996), "What to tell consumers in waits of different lengths: an  
40 integrative model of service evaluation", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 60, pp. 81-90.  
41  
42 Idrus, A.B., and Newman, J.B. (2002), "Construction Related Factors Influencing the Choice  
43 of Concrete Floor Systems". *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 20, pp. 13-19.  
44  
45 Islam, D.M.Z., Meier, J.F., Aditjandra, P.T., Zunder, T.H. and Pace, G. (2012), "Logistics  
46 and Supply Chain Management." *Research in Transport Economics*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 3-16.  
47  
48 Jasti, N, and Kodali, R. (2015), "Lean production: literature review and trends", *International*  
49 *Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 53 No. 3, pp. 867-885.  
50  
51 Jimmerson, C., Weber, D. and Sobek, D.K. 2<sup>nd</sup> (2005), "Reducing waste and errors: piloting  
52 lean principles at Intermountain Healthcare". *Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, Vol. 31  
53 No. 5, pp. 249-257.  
54  
55 Johnston, R. (2008), "Internal Service – Barriers, Flows and Assessment", *International*  
56 *Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 210-231.  
57  
58 Kouretas, G.P. and Vlamis, P. (2010), "The Greek Crises: Causes and Implications." *Panaeconomics*, Vol. 57 No 4, pp. 391-404.  
59  
60 Julien, D.M. and Tjahjono, B. (2009), "Lean thinking implementation at a safari park",  
*Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 321-335.

- 1  
2  
3 Kaplan, G.S. (2012), "Waste Not: The Management Imperative for Healthcare", *Journal Of*  
4 *Healthcare Management*, Vol 57 No 3, pp. 160-166.
- 5  
6 Katz, K.L., Larson, B. and Larson, R. C. (1991), "Prescription for the waiting in line blues:  
7 entertain, enlighten and engage." *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 320-39.
- 8  
9 Kell, M. Tiwana, A. and Bush, A. (2002), "Reconciling User and Project Manager  
10 Perceptions of IT Project Risk: A Delphi Study", *Information Systems Journal*, Vol. 12 No.  
11 2, pp.103-119.
- 12  
13 Knutson B.J., Beck J.A., Singh A.J., Kasavana, M.L. and Cichy, R.F. (2004), "Marketing to  
14 Lodging, Food Service and Club Consumers in the Future: A Delphi Study to Predict  
15 Marketing Management in 2007", *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure*, Vol 1, pp. 25-41.
- 16  
17 Kobayashi, I. (1995), *Twenty Keys to Workplace Improvement*, Productivity Press,  
18 Cambridge, MA.
- 19  
20 Koskiala, S. and Huhtanen, A. (1989), "The Finnish Delphi Study: Forecasting the Extent of  
21 Information Technology Use in Libraries in 1996 and 2010", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 7  
22 No. 3, pp. 170-175.
- 23  
24 Kosow, H. and Gassner, R. (2008), "Methods of Future and Scenario Analysis Overview,  
25 Assessment, and Selection Criteria", *Studies 39*. German Development Institute: Bonn.
- 26  
27 Larreche, J. and Montgomery, D.B. (1977), "A Framework for the Comparison of Marketing  
28 Models: A Delphi Study", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 14, pp. 487-498.
- 29  
30 Leyer, M. and Moormann, J. (2015), "How Lean are Financial Services Companies Really?  
31 Empirical evidence from a large scale study in Germany". *International Journal of*  
32 *Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 34 No. 11, pp.1366-1388.
- 33  
34 Liker, J. (2004) *The Toyota Way*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 35  
36 Linstone H, A, and Turoff, M. (1975), "Introduction", in Linstone, H. A. and Turoff, M.  
37 (Eds.). *The Delphi Method: Techniques and applications*, pp. 3-12. Addison-Wesley  
38 Publishing Company: Reading, MA.
- 39  
40 Liu, S., Zhang, J., Kell, M. and Chen, T. (2010), "Comparing Senior Executive and Project  
41 Manager Perceptions of IT Project Risk: A Chinese Delphi Study", *Information Systems*  
42 *Journal*, Vol. 20, pp. 319-355.
- 43  
44 Longbottom, D. and Hilton, J., (2011), "Service improvement: lessons from the UK financial  
45 services sector". *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 39-  
46 59.
- 47  
48 Lummus R.R., Vokurka R.J. and Duclos L, K. (2005), "Delphi Study on Supply Chain  
49 Flexibility", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 43 No. 13, pp. 2687-2708.
- 50  
51 Makkonen, M., Hujala, T., and Uusivuori, J. (2016), "Policy Experts" Propensity to Change  
52 their Opinion Along Delphi Round", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol.  
53 109, pp. 61-68.
- 54  
55 Manalo, M.V. (2013), "Teaching Strategies for Business Ethics Courses in the Undergraduate  
56 Accountancy Curriculum". *DLSU Business and Economics Review*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 82-94.
- 57  
58 Mangan, J., Lalwani, C. and Butcher, C. T. (2012), *Global Logistics and Supply Chain*  
59 *Management*. Wiley Higher Education

- 1  
2  
3 Mason-Jones, R., Naylor, B. and Towill, D.R. (2000), "Lean, agile or leagile? Matching your  
4 supply chain to the marketplace", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 38 No.  
5 17, pp. 4061-4070.  
6  
7 McCann, L., Hassard, J.S. and Granter, E. (2015), "Casting the Lean Spell: The  
8 Promotion, Dilution and Erosion of Lean Management in the NHS." *Human*  
9 *Relations*, Vol. 68 No. 10, pp. 1557-1577.  
10  
11 McCurry, L. and McIvor, L. (2001), "Agile manufacturing: 21st century strategy for  
12 manufacturing on the periphery?" *Conference Proceedings, Irish Academy of Management*  
13 *Conference, University of Ulster, Ulster*.  
14  
15 McIntosh, B., Sheppy, B. and Cohen, I. (2014), "Illusion or Delusion – lean management in  
16 the health sector". *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*. Vol. 27 No. 6,  
17 pp. 482-492.  
18  
19 Melnyk, S.A., Lummus, R.R., Vokurka, R.J., Burns, L.J. and Sandor, J. (2009), "Mapping the  
20 future of supply chain management: a Delphi study", *International Journal of Production*  
21 *Research*, Vol. 47 No. 16, pp. 4629-4653.  
22  
23 Miller, J., Wroblewski, M. and Villafuerte, J. (2013), *Creating a Kaizen culture: Align the*  
24 *organization, achieve breakthrough results, and sustain the gains*. United States: McGraw-  
25 Hill Professional.  
26  
27 Mitchell V. (1992), "Using Delphi to Forecast in New Technology Industries", *Marketing*  
28 *Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 4 – 9.  
29  
30 Muller, H. (1997), "Long-haul tourism 2005 – Delphi study", *The Tourist Review*, Vol. 52  
31 No. 3, pp.27-31.  
32  
33 Ndaita, P.M., Gachie, T. and Kiveu, C.W. (2015), "The Implementation of Lean Six Sigma  
34 Concept at National Bank of Kenya – Operation Division". *The TQM Journal*, Vol. 27 No. 6,  
35 pp. 683-690.  
36  
37 Neumann, W. P. and Medbo, L. (2010), "Ergonomic and Technical Aspects in the Redesign  
38 of Material Supply Systems: Big Boxes Vs. Narrow Bins. *International Journal of Industrial*  
39 *Ergonomics* Vol. 40 No. 5, pp. 541–548.  
40  
41 Okoli, C. and Pawlowski, S.D. (2004), "The Delphi Method as a Research Tool: an example,  
42 design considerations and applications". *Information and Management*, Vol. 42 No. 1, pp.  
43 15-29.  
44  
45 Ohno, T. (1988), *Toyota Production System: Beyond Large Scale Production*, Productivity  
46 Press.  
47  
48 Okpala, C. C (2014), "Tackling Muda – The Inherent Wastes in Manufacturing Processes".  
49 *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology*, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp. 6-11.  
50  
51 Ortiz, C (2009), "Lean and Time", *Paperboard Packaging*, Vol. 94 No. 7, pp. 10-11.  
52  
53 Paliwoda, S.J. (1983), "Predicting the Future Using Delphi", *Management Decision*, Vol. 21  
54 No. 1, pp. 31–38.  
55  
56 Papavasiliou, E.F. and Lyons, S.T. (2015), "A Comparative Analysis of the Work Values of  
57 Greece's Millennial' Generation'. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*,  
58 Vol. 26 No. 17, pp. 2166-2186.  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Petry, K., Maes, B. and Vlaskam, C. (2007), "Operationalizing Quality of Life for People  
4 with Profound Multiple Disabilities: A Delphi Study", *Journal of Intellectual Disability*  
5 *Research*, Vol. 51 No. 5, pp. 334-349.
- 7 Pettersen, J. (2009), Defining lean production: some conceptual and practical issues. *The*  
8 *TQM Journal*. 2009; Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 127-142.
- 10 Piercy, N. and Ruch, N. (2009), "Lean Transformation in the Pure Service Environment: the  
11 case of the call service centre". *International Journal of Operations and Production*  
12 *Management*. Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 54-76.
- 14 Radnor, Z. and Walley, P. (2010), "Learning to Walk Before We Try to Run: adapting lean  
15 for the public sector". *Public Money and Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 13-20.
- 17 Ranchord, A. and Zhou, F. (2001), "Comparing Respondents of Email and Mail Surveys:  
18 Understanding the Implications of Technology". *Market Intelligence and Planning*. Vol. 19  
19 No. 4, pp. 254-262.
- 21 Rashvand, P. and Majid, M.Z.A. (2014), "Critical Criteria on Client and Customer  
22 Satisfaction for the Issue for Performance Measurement". *Journal of Management in*  
23 *Engineering*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp10-18.
- 25 Rawabdeh, I.A. (2005), "A model for the assessment of waste in job shop environments",  
26 *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 25 No. 8, pp. 800-  
27 822.
- 29 Ray, P. K. and Sahu, S. (1989), "The Measurement and Evaluation of White Collar  
30 Productivity". *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 9,  
31 Issue 4, pp. 28-47.
- 33 Ray P.K. and Sahu, S. (1990), "Productivity Management in India: A Delphi Study",  
34 *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 10 No. 5, pp. 25-51.
- 36 Robson, C. (2002), *Real World Research*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn, Oxford: Blackwell
- 38 Rother, M. and Shook, J. (1999), *Learning to See: Value Stream Mapping to Add Value and*  
39 *Eliminate Muda*. Cambridge: Productivity Press.
- 41 Rowe, G. and Wright, G. (1999), "The Delphi Technique as a Forecasting Tool: issues and  
42 analysis", *International Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 15 No. 4, pp. 353-375.
- 44 Rupesh, K. M. and Narayanan, A.G.V. (2016), "Store Choice: Understanding the Shopper's  
45 Preference in Selecting an Apparel Multi-Brand Outlet." *The IUP Journal of Marketing*  
46 *Management*. Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 36-48.
- 48 Russell, R.S. and Taylor, B.W., (1999), *Operations Management*. New York: Irving  
49 McGraw-Hill.
- 51 Sarkar, D. (2008) *Lean for Service Organizations and Offices–Holistic Approach for*  
52 *Achieving Operational Excellence*. American Society for Quality Press: Milwaukee
- 54 Sarkar, D (2010), "Analysing the Value Contribution of Work for Process Improvement"  
55 *Performance Improvement*, Vol. 49 No. 5, pp. 31- 34.
- 57 Schmidt, R. C. (1997), "Managing Delphi Surveys using Nonparametric Statistical  
58 Techniques. *Decision Sciences*. Vol. 28 No. 3 pp. 763-774.
- 60 Schmidt R., Lyytinen, K., Keil, M. and Cule, P. (2001), "Identifying Software Project Risks:  
An International Delphi Study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 17 No. 4,  
pp. 5-36.



- 1  
2  
3 Scholl, W., Konig, C., Meyer, B. and Heisig, P. (2004), "The Future of Knowledge  
4 Management: An International Delphi Study", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 8  
5 No. 2, pp. 19-35.  
6  
7 Shang, S.S.C. (2012), "Dual Strategy for Managing User Resistance with Business  
8 Integration Systems". *Behaviour and Information Technology*, Vol. 31 No. 9, pp. 909-225.  
9  
10 Skulmoski G.J., Hartman, F. T. and Krahn, J. (2007), "The Delphi Method for Graduate  
11 Research", *Journal of Information Technology Education*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. pp. 1-21.  
12  
13 Slack, N., Chambers, S. and Johnson, R. (2006), *Operations Management*, 5<sup>th</sup> edn. Harrow:  
14 Prentice-Hall.  
15  
16 Sohal, A. S. and Egglestone, A. (1994), "Lean Production: Experience among Australian  
17 Organizations". *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. Vol. 14  
18 No. 11, pp. 35-51.  
19  
20 Sowards, D (2017), "How lean improves shop productivity", *Contractor Magazine*, Vol. 64  
21 No. 8, p. 36.  
22  
23 Sullivan, W.G., McDonald, T.N. and van Aken, E.M. (2002), "Equipment replacement  
24 decisions and lean manufacturing", *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, Vol.  
25 18 Nos. 3/4, pp. 255-65.  
26  
27 Svensson, G. (2001), "Just-in-time: the reincarnation of past theory and practice",  
28 *Management Decision*, Vol. 39 No. 10, pp. 866-79.  
29  
30 Syddell, M. (2005), "Going lean can mean plump profits", *Manufacturer's Monthly*, March,  
31 pp. 19-20.  
32  
33 Taylor, S. (1994), "Waiting for service: the relationship between delays and evaluations of  
34 service", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58, pp. 56-69.  
35  
36 Taylor, D. and Brunt, D. (2010), "Manufacturing operations and supply chain management:  
37 the lean approach", *Cengage Learning EMEA*.  
38  
39 Varias, A.D. and Sofianopoulou, S. (2012), "Efficiency evaluation of Greek commercial  
40 banks using DEA" *Journal of Applied Operational Research*. Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 183-193.  
41  
42 Verstraete, C. (2004), "Planning for the unexpected", *Manufacturing Engineer*, Vol. 83 No.  
43 3, pp. 18-21.  
44  
45 Watson, T. (2008), "Public Relations Research Priorities: A Delphi Study", *Journal of*  
46 *Communication Management*, Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 104-123.  
47  
48 Wentholt, M, T, A. and Frewer L, J. (2010), "Expert Views on European Research Needs  
49 Regarding Emerging Infectious Animal Diseases: Results of a Delphi Study", *Coordination*  
50 *of European Research on Emerging and Major Infectious Diseases of Livestock*. MCB  
51 Group: The Netherlands.  
52  
53 White, G.R.T. (2017), Future Applications of Blockchain in Business and Management: a  
54 Delphi study. *Strategic Change, Special Issue on the Future of Money and Further*  
55 *Applications of Blockchain*, Vol. 26 No. 5, pp. 439-451.  
56  
57 White, G. R.T. and Cicmil, S. (2016), "Knowledge acquisition through process mapping:  
58 Factors affecting the performance of work-based activity", *International Journal of*  
59 *Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 65 No. 3, pp.302-323.  
60

- 1  
2  
3 White, G. R.T. and James, P. (2014), "Extension of process mapping to identify Green  
4 Waste", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp.835-850.  
5  
6 White, G.R.T., Samuel, A., Jones, P. and Fisher, R. (2018a), "Social Enterprises Operating in  
7 the South Wales Valleys: A Delphi Study of Persistent Tensions". *Social Enterprise Journal*,  
8 Vol. 14 No. 1, pp.22-38.  
9  
10 White, G.R.T., Samuel, A., Pickernell, D., Taylor, D., Mason-Jones, R. (2018b), "Social  
11 Entrepreneurs in Challenging Places: a Delphi study of experiences and perspectives", *Local*  
12 *Economy*, Vol. 33 No. 8, pp.800-821.  
13  
14 White, G.R.T., Cicmil, S., Upadhyay, A., Subramanian, N., Kumar, V., Dwivedi, A. (2019),  
15 "Soft Side of Knowledge Transfer Partnership Between Universities and Small to Medium  
16 Sized Enterprises: exploratory study to understand process improvement", *Production*  
17 *Planning and Control*, Forthcoming.  
18  
19 Wiggington, G. S. S. (1979), "Application of Delphi Techniques to Predicting Employee  
20 Relations Futures", *Personnel Review*, Vol. 8 No. 4, pp. 45-51.  
21  
22 Wojakowski, P. and Warzolek, D. (2017), "Work in Assembly Cell: A Case Study"  
23 *Research in Logistics and Production*. Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 41–51.  
24  
25 Womack, J.P., Jones, D.T. and Roos, D. (1990), *The Machine that Changed the World*,  
26 Rawson Associates  
27  
28 Womack, J. P. and Jones, D. T. (1996). "Beyond Toyota: how to root out waste and pursue  
29 perfection." *Harvard Business Review*. September-October 1996  
30  
31 Yin, R. K. (2013), *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*, SAGE, London.  
32  
33 Yong, Y. W., Keng, K. A. and Leng, T. L. (1988), "A Delphi Forecast for the Singapore  
34 Tourism Industry: Future Scenario and Marketing Implications", *International Marketing*  
35 *Review*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 35-46.  
36  
37 Yu-Lee, R.T. (2011). "Proper Lean Accounting: Eliminating waste isn't enough; you have to  
38 reduce inputs to save money", *Industrial Engineer: IE*, Vol. 43 No. 10, pp. 39-43.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1: Waste Ranking from Various Industries

Industry	Wastes						
	Overproduction	Waiting	Transportation	Over processing	Inventory	Motion	Defects
<b>Services (Procurement)</b> (Esain, Griffiths and Hines, 2001)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Manufacturing (Steel)</b> (Esain, 2000)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Manufacturing (Job Shop Environments)</b> (Rawabdeh, 2005)	6	5	7	3	4	1	2
<b>Manufacturing (Automotive)</b> (Taylor and Burnt, 2010)	5	1	6	4	3	7	2
<b>Manufacturing (Bread Production)</b> (Goriwondo, Mhlanga and Marecha, 2011)	5	4	6	7	2	3	1
<b>Manufacturing</b> (Miller, Wroblewski and Villafuert, 2013)	1	7	6	5	3	4	2
<b>Manufacturing (Gaza Strip 1)</b> (El-Namrouty and AbuShaaban, 2013)	3	3	3	6	7	2	1
<b>Manufacturing (Gaza Strip 2)</b> (El-Namrouty and AbuShaaban, 2013)	5	2	6	7	1	4	3

Table 2: Participant Demographics

Participant	Gender	Age	Length of Service
Manager (M1)	M	52	30
Manager (M2)	M	44	19
Manager (M3)	M	56	33
Manager (M4)	M	43	17
Manager (M5)	M	45	20
Manager (M6)	M	55	30
Manager (M7)	M	57	33
Manager (M8)	M	37	12
Manager (M9)	M	51	27
Manager (M10)	M	56	32
Employee (E1)	F	50	29
Employee (E2)	M	42	15
Employee (E3)	M	37	14
Employee (E4)	M	60	34
Employee (E5)	F	45	10
Employee (E6)	F	48	23
Employee (E7)	F	24	2
Employee (E8)	F	46	19
Employee (E9)	M	37	10
Employee (E10)	F	45	22

Table 3: Bank Demographics

<b>Bank</b>	<b>Number of Personnel</b>	<b>Number of Branches</b>	<b>Revenue</b>	<b>Number of Study Participants</b>
“Beta”	13,000	600+	2.3 billion Eur	3 Managers 2 Employees
“Gamma”	10,000	500+	0.5 billion Eur	2 Managers 3 Employees
Delta”	8,500	500+	2.6 billion Eur	2 Managers 2 Employees
“Epsilon”	8000	400+	0.5 billion Eur	3 Managers 3 Employees

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 4: Round 1 Rankings

Waste	Round 1 Employees Rankings	Round 1 Managers Rankings	Round 1 Overall Rankings
Overproduction	5	1	3
Waiting	4	3	2
Defects	2	2	1
Motion	6	4	5
Transportation	7	7	7
Inventory	1	5	3
Over-processing	3	6	6

Table 5: Round 2 Rankings

Waste	Round 2 Employees Rankings	Round 2 Managers Rankings	Round 2 Overall Rankings
Overproduction	6	5	5
Waiting	3	3	3
Defects	2	1	2
Motion	7	7	7
Transportation	8	8	8
Inventory	5	4	4
Over-processing	4	6	5
Underutilized Resources	1	2	1

## Examining Operational Wastes within Greek Banking Operations

### Authors:

Dr Robert A. Allen\*  
Centre for Defence Management and Leadership  
Cranfield University  
Defence Academy of the United Kingdom  
Shrivenham  
SN6 8LA

Giannis Panagoulis  
University of South Wales  
Treforest  
Pontypridd  
CF37 1DL

Dr Gareth R. T. White  
Faculty of Business and Society  
University of South Wales  
Treforest  
Pontypridd  
CF37 1DL

\*Corresponding author: [robert.allen@cranfield.ac.uk](mailto:robert.allen@cranfield.ac.uk)